Scott Township Workshop Meeting Minutes

Scott Township
Tuesday, January 13, 2026 at 7:30 PM EST
301 Lindsay Rd Carnegie Pa 15106 and Zoom

Call to Order, Moment of Prayer, and Pledge of Allegiance
Ms. Altman stated, "Good evening, everyone." Ms. Altman stated that she'd like to call Township Workshop Meeting to order for Tuesday, December 9th. Ms. Altman stated, "if we could please start with a prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance." The township prayer and Pledge of Allegiance were conducted.

Roll Call: Eileen Meyers - Present
Jane Sorcan - Present
Stacey Altman, President - Preset
Samuel Dalfonso, Esq. - Present
Via Zoom at 7:32 PM, arrived in-person at 8:10 P.M.
Thomas Castello, Esq. - Present
Michelle Sedlak - Absent
Nick Seibel - Present
Kathleen Gazda - Present
Donald Giudici - Present

Also present: Denise Fitzgerald-Township Manager
Karla McGill-Assistant Township Manager-Finance
John Vogel, Esq-Solicitor
Marie Hartman-Engineer
Kevin A. Brett, Engineer
Tom Kelley-Director of Public Services (present via Zoom)
Mark Mox-Planning, Zoning and Enforcement Officer
Matthew Podsiadly, Chief of Police
Michael Rudolph-Interim Administrative Assistant
Bennon Brewer-Pool Manager

Public Comments on all Agenda Items Listed Below
No public comments were made.

Columbia Gas Presentation
Stephanie Lewis and Brian Dawson of Columbia Gas were present to discuss the project occurring on Lindsay Road. Ms. Lewis stated that they wanted to know if the board had any questions about the agreement, and if they had a copy of the agreement. Ms. Hartman stated that the board was provided with a copy of the agreement.

Ms. Hartman stated, "why don't you describe the project, where it starts, where it ends, what it entails, and then we can discuss the agreement with the board?"

Ms. Lewis stated that a majority or the work is to take place on Lindsay Road, "right across from Greentree Road here." Ms. Lewis stated, "We're gonna pour across the newly paved portion, however, there still will be some disruption or spotting of other utilities and replacing the service lines for the customers here on, getting myself mixed up here, but on the western side. After that, we'll open cut for a few hundred feet, and then we have something called an upright, so that's where we take our existing pipe, and we're gonna raise the pressure in that to medium pressure. It's a safety thing for us. It helps prevent water in the pipe. And then there's a few streets, basins and such that we'll just replace normally." Ms. Lewis stated that there is unrepairable leakage under the new paved portion of the road so the gas lines need to be replaced.

Mr. Seibel stated, "what material is the old line?" Ms. Lewis stated that the material was fair steel. Mr. Castello stated, "you're gas line, is that service to Chartiers Terrace?" Ms. Lewis stated that it was not. Mr. Castello stated, "so they won't have any disruption?". Ms. Lewis stated that Chartiers Terrace would not have a disruption.

Ms. Hartman stated, "So what is the disturbance to Lindsay, both the existing asphalt section that we just finished and the concrete section beyond that? Are you in the road? Are you disturbing the asphalt or the concrete? And if so, what extent?" Ms. Lewis stated that they were going to attempt to bore the section that was just paved. Ms. Lewis stated, "so we did our best to figure out what are the utilities are under the ground, so there would be spot holes over those other utilities, and then spot holes as we cross the surface lines." Ms. Lewis stated that every 50 feet, they were required to spot along their bore path. Mr. Dawson stated, "for safety we have to visually see the bore head cross the utility, make sure there's no potential conflicts or inadvertent, you know, hits, et cetera. Strictly safety and a policy that we have."

Ms. Hartman stated, "so there will be disturbance of the asphalt?" Ms. Lewis stated yes. Ms. Hartman stated, "based on our initial meetings, probably 6-8 months ago, you're going to mill and resurface curb-to-curb on the newly paved section of Lindsay." Ms. Lewis stated, "we agreed that we would have that discussion, so I think from our last discussion, your guys' contractor that you had to do the road the first time was going to do it, because there was some rework that needed done, so we were gonna pay a sum, which is what's in that agreement."

Ms. Hartman stated, "okay, but the agreement that we had was the gas company was going to curb-to-curb all of the section that we just paved."? Ms. Hartman stated, "Put the price aside. I have in my notes that you agreed curb-to-curb, for the asphalt section of Lindsay." Ms. Lewis stated, "Yes, we will cover that. Your contractor was going to do it right? And so yes, we agree to cover the curb to curb costs, because we know you just paved it. Where the issue comes in, then as you have said, unfortunately, your contractor's pricing is higher than our contractors, so we cannot pay that price, so we are willing to cover what it would cost our contractor to mill an overlay that, and apply that sum of money to you all."

Ms. Hartman stated, "Okay, but aside from that, let's put the price aside. So there's disturbance to the asphalt section. And we agree that you are responsible curb-to-curb. What are we doing in the concrete section?" Ms. Lewis stated, "So I don't believe we're actually in the road, we're on that side portion of the road for that concrete. So we're going to run up this side that... I forget what's the word of it, right?" Mr. Dawson stated, "yeah, the parking lane, or whatever it is."

Ms. Hartman stated, "so if you're in the berm, are you in the berm?" Mr. Dawson stated, "that is what we are planning, correct." Ms. Hartman stated, "Okay, so there's portions of that road that have an asphalt berm, there's portions that have a concrete berm, so your disturbances in the berm, and then you have how many crossings across the concrete section?" Mr. Dawson stated, "I wouldn't know that offhand. It's not until further down past the apartments, I would say 6 to 10." Ms. Lewis stated, "so that initial part, plus Chartiers Terrace, we don't have any customers on that, t's only until we get up to that portion that we're going to upgrade that we will need to address, and we had a permit approved. We did some investigation on some of those service lines, so if we need to replace some of them, there will be disturbance up towards that requalification area."

Mr. Dawson stated, "Best estimate right now without looking at a map and or being another looking at it would probably be between 6 and 10 long-side services, which means we'd have to cross from the berm across the street to the house across the street there." Ms. Hartman stated, "Okay, so in the concrete section, you're going up the berm, and then you're going to connect over to the line you're going to requalify, and then pass the requalification line connection point, there's 6 to 10 long services." Mr. Dawson stated, "that's my best estimate right now, because I'd have to look at a map and actually count the houses again, but offhand I would say 6 to 10, maybe 12, I think there's about 13 or 14 total on that street that you have as customers."

Mr. Vogel stated, "I don't know if there was, but whenever we're discussing it legally, what's involved in this. I mean, the agreement looks fine as an agreement, but when it says the, this is what the work involved is, it says, asphalt mill and overlay, associated work, line striping and restoration, as per the municipality's street opening ordinance of the roadway surfaces of Lindsay Road, Green Tree Road to Tedco Place, new paved joint. Now, does that encompass or not encompass what?"

Ms. Hartman stated, "That only encompasses the asphalt portion of the work. The concrete portion of the work, is, in my opinion, a separate permit, because disturbance of concrete roads in Scott Township requires permission of the board, and it requires your approval in terms of the restoration of the concrete section. So, that agreement that I sent you, that I originally populated, that was a Columbia Gas template agreement. So, I populated it with what my read was of the initial project. So, it sounds like the initial project was open cut, now it's boring. I think the limits of the asphalt disturbance are irrelevant. They've agreed to replace, mill, and overlay that road. Leave the cost to the side for now. So that only encompasses the asphalt portion of this project.."

Ms. Hartman stated, "Disturbance of the concrete slabs is a different agreement. It's the same type of agreement that we did on Swallow Hill with the gentleman that was doing the gas work there. That's something that we need to discuss. Your ordinance requires replacement of concrete slabs with concrete slabs. I believe this is the discussion of milling and resurfacing that road is one item, and then the discussion of replacing of those concrete slabs is another."

1/13/26 RM

Mr. Vogel stated, "you said we're going to need a separate agreement, or does that for the work, that has to be more specific?" Mr. Hartman stated, "I think that's a separate agreement. I mean, that's just my opinion. I mean, that's up to the board and to you to decide. I mean, it's two different types of work. But there's nothing in that agreement that you're looking at right there that addresses replacing concrete slabs." Mr. Vogel stated, "correct."

Ms. Lewis stated, "Yeah, and I'll say from our perspective, that agreement was I thought just for the newly paved portion, because that's where you're having a lot of the conversation in terms of financial responsibility." Mr. Dawson stated, "Yeah, as far as, you know, on the back end, any disturbance to the concrete, if the spec in the requirements are to replace concrete with concrete, we want to replace the pad with a new concrete pad." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "okay. We gotta generate that agreement."

Ms. Hartman stated, "I can find the one we did for Swallow Hill and let Attorney Vogel Look at it and I believe the way we did that one was we had a price, we had bonding. Everything was wrapped into that agreement." Ms. Lewis stated, "since we have permits for Tedco Place and the side streets. So, then we'll have a separate agreement for this newly paved portion, and then a third set of paperwork for the concrete portion, is that what I'm hearing?"

Mr. Seibel stated to Ms. Hartman, "Do you need them to make applications for the concrete portion?" Mr. Dawson stated that he was going to ask the same question, and "would it just be a road opening application at that point?"

Ms. Hartman stated, "I think that's the way we started it. Yeah. I mean, we need something to determine how many slabs are going through, and what the restoration requirements are going to be." Mr. Seibel stated, "what is the timeframe going to be?" Mr. Dawson stated, "We're currently working on the side streets, I think John, Mary, Tedco right now. We have a compliance date where it needs to be completed by the end of June. Ideally we'd like to get permission to just fall into the project and you know, gas it up, transfer the services, do all of our necessary prep work on the requalification zone, and then complete that. So we're looking probably at, you know, a good three months. And then there's restoration. So the sooner we get this under wraps, the better it works out for all of us, that way we're not under the gun when the compliance needs to clear our leakage."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I'm sorry for the terrible pun here, but when did it come in the pipeline for you guys as far as when it started to be discussed on your end of things?" Ms. Lewis stated that she was unsure, and that she "maybe met with Marie in April for the first time." Ms.

Hartman stated that she believed they got the One Calls in the spring. Ms. Hartman stated, "it was after our portion was paved, and the one calls came in before Liberoni was able to come out and do the milling and resurfacing."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I meant just more as in general, because I think you guys had reached out before we even started to think about Lindsay Road, saying hey, we're gonna be doing this. And I'm just trying to see if this upgrade was, you know, discussed on your end of things prior to that, or if this was kind of a newer issue." Ms. Lewis stated that this was a newer issue and "Once those leaks came about, then we have a commitment to clear those leaks. So it was just bad timing, unfortunately. This is not how we would prefer to do work either." Mr. Dalfonso stated thank you.

Ms. Altman stated, "any other questions from the board?" Mr. Dalfonso stated, "if we're paving all of Lindsay Road, does it make much sense to replace concrete with concrete if it's just going to be coming out?" Ms. Hartman stated, "that's a conversation we'll have." Mr. Dalfonso stated thank you. Ms. Hartman did not have anything else to add. Ms. Altman stated to Ms. Lewis and Mr. Dawson, "we appreciate you being here tonight." Mr. Seibel stated, "thanks for responding. I just talked to the fire chief up there, he said they've been on it for three and a half hours, but they're working on it." Ms. Lewis stated, "I just spoke to the frontline leader that was out here before I came in here, he said maybe another couple hours before they're able to safely shut it down, and then start repairs." Mr. Seibel stated thank you.

Planning, Zoning, and Code Enforcement

Mr. Mox stated for December 2025, 10 permits were issued for a total of $602,600. Mr. Mox stated there were a couple of commercial projects. Mr. Mox stated, "one is Wings over Pittsburgh, that's going in the old Pizza Hut location on Greentree Road, and then Hot Works is going to be located in Scott Town Center, and then Walmart's doing some new exterior doors that were part of that." Mr. Mox stated 10 notices of violation were issued, 7 magistrate hearings were attended, 4 rental inspections, 10 building inspections, and had 1 Right-to-Know request. Mr. Mox stated there were 7 new homes under construction currently at the Nixon School Redevelopment. Mr. Mox stated that 2 building permits were issued for the lower homes, one on Clairview Drive and one on Peachtree Road.

Mr. Castello stated, "what's the name of the wings place that's going into Pizza Hut?" Mr. Mox stated Wings Over Pittsburgh. Mr. Castello stated, "that's different than the Wings in Banksville Plaza?" Mr. Vogel stated, "it's a Wingstop, that's a national chain." Mr. Castello stated, "and is it going right next to MedExpress? Is that where it's going?" Mr. Mox stated, "that's a UPMC now, yes. That's where."

Mr. Seibel stated, "Did you mention one in Scott Town Center?" Mr. Mox stated, "yes. Hotworks. It's an exercise site." Mr. Seibel stated, "Okay, I saw in the press another one. Better made Donuts?" Ms. Sorcan stated she saw this too. Mr. Mox stated he has not received anything regarding this.

Ms. Altman stated the next item to discuss was appointing Mark Mox to the planning commission for an additional term, to expire January 27, 2030. Ms. Altman stated, "good with that?...Alright, we'll put that on for a vote."

Ms. Altman stated the next item to discuss was advertising an ordinance restating Ordinance 1179-82 to confirm the township's obligations with regard to animals running at large within the Township of Scott. Mr. Mox stated, "this came about as part of a magistrate hearing where we had some of feral cats being taken care of, fed, water, neutered, and so forth by a resident. We had other residents in the area complaining because of the care that they were providing, it was keeping the feral cats. While we were holding the person responsible for the ownership of these cats, and the ordinance itself was questionable on that. And then as we looked at it, so the judge asked us to revisit this ordinance, to update it, bring it up to date. So that's what we've done. If we have provisions in there where the, you know, police department grabbing the cats, that type of language, so forth. So, we put in some definitions that will help us to enforce this, just to try to hold the people responsible who are doing this." Ms. Altman stated, "any questions on that? Alright."

Ms. Altman stated the next item to discuss was advertising the Ordinance to adopt the 2021 International Property Maintenance Code. Mr. Mox stated, "what this is, is we currently have the maintenance code that is adopted currently in the 2009 version. As of January 1st of this year, the UCC has adopted the 2021 code international construction companies. And so what we are doing is we're just bringing this up to current standards of that same code grouping. We're also doing that so that as we're enforcing these codes, if we get appealed, any decisions that the judge might make when we go downtown. We've been informed that unless we have adopted this code, we cannot enforce it. And even though our current code states that subsequent codes can be included, the judge does not agree with that statement. So we just have to do this each time. The only thing that you do want to do is with this ordinance, just taking the same ordinance we had before, did not include some of the blanks that we need to complete and built in. For instance, just the name of our township, the code of enforcement department would be the ones who would be enforcing it, our grass height limit. We'd want it to states 10 inches, so we want to make sure we're consistent. So that would be put in under the Section 302. Section 304.14, has to do with when screens are required of windows. So, for tenants, to make sure that the landlords are providing them with screens, You obviously wouldn't need those in the winter. So I'd just pick a date April 1st through September 30th, they could be required to make sure that there are screens in the windows. Section 602.3 has to with heat in dwelling units, and again I choose October 1st through March 31st for when the heat must be on. And then the next, 602.4, which has to do with workplace heat. I chose the same dates, October 1st through March 31st."

Ms. Altman stated, "do we have anything like that in the code we already have?" Mr. Mox stated, "we did not specify what those were. Those blanks existed in the 09 code also." Ms. Altman stated unless someone else has something to add, the board should go with Mr. Mox's recommendations. Mr. Seibel stated, "as long as we can see it before it gets advertised. which we'd be voting at the end of the month, so that gives us a couple weeks to get it updated"

Ms. Altman stated the next item to discuss was to discuss approval of the Russman Adjustment Subdivision Plan to consolidate partials 101-P-65, 101-P-52, 101-P-60, and the vacated, unopened alley, and then create a new boundary line, creating two parcels, 101-P60 and 101-P52. Ms. Altman stated, "this is as recommended by the Planning Commission, subject to satisfying the comments of the Township Engineer Review Letter dated October 22, 2025 and the Allegheny County Economic Development Letter dated October 3, 2025." Ms. Altman stated Paul Russman was present as representative if anybody had any questions. Mr. Mox stated, "this is what we have discussed in the past, this has to do with vacating that unopened alley and the idea is that we could vacate it. He owns the property surrounding this unopened valley area. Normally, it can split in half, half goes to one site, half goes to the other side. He owns both sides so he's going to end up getting both. Once he does that, it's a couple different things. You consolidate it all together into one, and then he wants to re-subdivide it into two separate lots then. So right now, there are 3 lots, and the alley. He wants it to be two lots."

Ms. Altman stated this would go up for a vote at the next meeting. Mr. Vogel stated yes. Ms. Altman stated, "I wanted to make sure that we were clear on that for Mr. Russman. He stated he wasn't sure he'd be able to be here. That is the 27th, is that right?" Mr. Vogel stated yes. Mr. Castello stated, "I don't think its necessary for him to be there. Paul, it's not necessary for you to be here, it appears there's no opposition, and it's complied with all your comments, so if you can't be here that's no problem I can call you later." Mr. Russman stated okay. Ms. Altman stated, "alright, so then that'll just go on for vote."

Ms. Altman stated that the next item to be discussed was ratifying advertising a conditional use hearing on January 27, 2026, at 7pm, regarding the approval of the conditional use application for Old St. Luke's Church, Burial Ground and Garden at 320 Old Washington Pike to install an electronic message sign onto the lower part of the existing sign, situate at the annex as recommended by the Planning Commission. Subject to satisfying the comments to the Township Engineer Review Letter dated November 24th, 2025. Ms. Altman stated, "We have three representatives from Old St. Luke's, Adam, David and David. So, if anybody has any questions, and Mark, if you have anything to add."

Mr. Mox stated, "This is an existing sign currently at the location. The intent is simply to change what's called the static sign that's existing there today to an electronic message board." This is very similar to what was done at the Our Lady of Grace Church." Ms. Altman stated, "We have David Davies and David Nixon." Mr. Davies stated, "like he basically said we have an existing sign we would like to put an electric one on. It's at the address 320 Old Washington. That is the church that we acquired two years ago, so it's not on the 330 Old Washington Pike address. So we are looking to get that approved."

Ms. Altman stated, "I did see, I think, that all the neighbors were notified, and they all signed off on it. I think that would be the only concern that we would have, that anybody would complain about the sign." Mr. Nixon stated that there was a typo in their application stating that the sign might have noise. Mr. Nixon stated he wanted to clarify that the sign would not

have noise and that this was revised on the application. Ms. Altman stated "any questions?" Ms. Sorcan stated, "I just wondered about the illumination at night. I know we have standards for it being reduced at certain times."

Mr. Comstock stated, "I'm Adam Comstock, I'm with G&S Signs, the vendor here, and yes, it's fully programmable for brightness, it has a light sensor on there, so when it gets dark outside, it's going to change the brightness to match what the codes are. They're able to set that to your regulations. And on a schedule as well, and it has the sensor as well to trip it whenever it just becomes darker, even if it's before the schedule, or a storm happens, it's gonna happen automatically."

Mr. Vogel stated, "We'll be having the hearing on this before the voting meeting. But I understand that through the Planning Commission you addressed all the points of the conditional use factors and also the sign factors. I have to say, for the hearing, do the same thing you did before, and then it should work out pretty well." Ms. Altman stated, "thanks everyone for being here tonight." Mr. Vogel stated, "and know that we would probably in all probability have that as a voting meeting. Again, we have the hearing, uh, I don't anticipate it being that long. And then we could also make that a voting item for the meeting at 7:30."

Ms. Altman stated, "any other comments, questions for code enforcement?"

Solicitor's Report
Mr. Vogel stated that he did not have anything to add.

Engineer's Report
Ms. Hartman stated that she needs approval of the Columbia Gas Lindsay Road paving agreement. Total restoration costs offered by Columbia Gas is $73,261. Ms. Hartman stated, "do you want to continue that?" Mr. Seibel stated, "I think we can leave it in your capable hands, and you can come back in with the presentation. If we need to, we can do an executive session before the next meeting." Ms. Hartman stated, "we'll get the agreements to them before the next meeting and we'll let you know if there's any data."

Ms. Hartman stated that she needs approval of Bond Reduction Request No. 8 submitted by Flash Point Partners, LLC reducing the Site Improvement Bond from $1,089,563.31 to $871,996.34 for the Nixon School Residential Development Project. Ms. Hartman stated, "as customary, a developer usually asks that we take action on these bond reduction requests at this meeting, ratify at the next meeting. The work he is requesting, bond reduction for has been completed in accordance with your requirements. We recommend that you reduce his bond accordingly. Ms. Altman stated, "does anyone have an issue with approving that and ratifying it at the next meeting? Mr. Castello and Mr. Seibel stated no. Ms. Sorcan stated, "I don't have an issue, but I just was concerned about the roadways there, and having, is there going to be enough funding in the developer's agreement to deal with any repairs on the roads?"

Ms. Hartman stated, "on our roads?" Ms. Sorcan stated, "On our roads. They're really getting beat up by the trucks." Ms. Hartman stated, "that is part of their obligation, and I want to say we sent two letters to the developer in the past regarding the road condition. Unfortunately, he changed contractors in the midst of the project, so that doesn't absolve his obligation to make sure that our roads are restored correctly. We have pictures from our site visits out there initially. Obviously when the development is over we'll reinspect them. We can issue a letter at that time with any noted damage and require them to correct it."

Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of Partial Payment No. 2 submitted by Grahamboys, LLC dba Graham Construction in the amount of $18,505.02 for work completed between September 1, 2025 and October 1, 2025 for the Public Works Garage Roof Replacement Project (Contract No. 24-R1) (to be paid from the Bond Fund). Ms. Hartman stated, "work has been completed per the contract, and we are recommending that you approved partial payment number 2." Mr. Castello stated, "and this has really been completed?" Ms. Hartman stated that work that they are requesting payment for has been completed. Ms. Hartman stated that they are down to just some electrical items making the connection to the breaker box for the new fans. Ms Hartman stated, "there's some remedial things that they need to electrically. And I think we're waiting on steel certs and O&M manuals for the fans. There's not much remaining."

Mr. Castello stated, "I notice I noticed that we've got 3 tonight for them. 2, 3 and 4. If we agree, and we haven't gotten the other two yet, to pay all three of these, is there any money left?" Ms. Hartman stated, "In the engineer's report, we gave you a breakdown of the four partial payments that they have requested. Through approval of partial payment number 4, you will have paid $254,295.90, and the total contract value was $295,569, so you're still holding $13,300 on retainage, plus they haven't billed for the fan installation yet, which was $26,000. So you're holding a good amount, and it should be enough to address any, you know, wonkyness that may arise."

Mr. Brett stated the wires are in place and they have the breakers.

Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of Partial Payment No. 3 submitted by Grahamboys, LLC dba Graham Construction in the amount of $55,464.01 for work completed between October 2, 2025 and October 31, 2025 for the Public Works Garage Roof Replacement Project (Contract No. 24-R1) (to be paid from the Bond Fund).

Ms. Hartman stated she needed approval of Partial Payment No. 4 submitted by Grahamboys, LLC dba Graham Construction in the amount of $33,127.19 for work completed between November 1, 2025 and December 1, 2025 for the Public Works Garage Roof Replacement Project (Contract No. 24-R1) (to be paid from the Bond Fund). Ms. Hartman stated, "as I mentioned, they still have some final work to complete and retainage to be released. So, with your blessing, I would like to put them on the meeting agenda." Ms. Altman stated, "that's fine."

Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of Change Order No. 1 submitted by M and B Services, LLC in the amount of $14,040.19, adjusting mast arm sizes per PennDOT request following project field review for the Bower Hill Road / Painters Run Road and Bower Hill Road / Rockhill Road Green Light Go Project. Ms. Hartman stated, "Pendot has on a few projects recently after their field reviews asked for changes as a result of field review. Now, this is after the permits have been issued, after they've done their initial design review. I'm not sure how we would get around something like this. It's just what they're, what they've been doing." Mr. Seibel stated, "that happened at Cochran and Robinwood as well, right?" Ms. Hartman stated "yes, and it's happened on several of our other projects, as well as other firms' projects that I am aware of. We originally thought this was going to be around $40,000. We're at 14. I'm asking that we put this on the agenda to approve so that he could get his mast arms ordered, because those are a long lead item. I had trouble getting those in and making the PennDOT deadline for spending the funds, so we really had to dance on that last project, and I'm hoping we're not doing that on this one as well."

Mr. Seibel stated, "I'm okay in the interest of completion, but I think we should let Rep Deasy know that this is a continued issue. It would be nice to know before the permits are issued."

Mr. Brett stated, "we have been reviewing this with PennDOT, because it's an ongoing thing. So, in the future contracts, when we bid them, they've asked us to put in an unforeseen as directed item with a plug number in it that they give us for things like this, push buttons, little things that change once the guys that review it in construction go to the field. We've had to hike the issue. We've had a push-button issue on a few. Some are ADA ramps after the fact. They've come in and asked us to add little pieces of walkway, ramps, stuff like that, and we said that, you know, we don't want to keep going back to communities, we like this program, we don't want to upset them because they are given to communities a lot of grant money, but on the flip side of it, we need a way to manage so that's what we're going to do with the next one, so that there's you know, $20,000 sitting there in the award so that it's in the grant and hopefully that addresses it, but it is their process, and really, we can't get out of how they do this. They do it on all their projects, so we've got to stop it." Ms. Altman stated it would be on for vote.

Mr. Castello stated, "If we know we are going to do it, and it takes so long to get these, is there any advantage to gratifying it, so they can put the order right away, so we're two weeks ahead?" Ms. Hartman stated, "that would be lovely." Ms. Altman stated, "anybody have a problem? Good idea."

Ms. Hartman stated she needed approval of Change Order No. 1 (Final) submitted by State Pipe Services, Inc. in the deduct amount of $112,304.04 based upon final in-place quantities and work completed for the C-48 Phase II COA Source Flow Reduction and Sanitary Sewer Improvements Project (Contract No. 24-S3). Ms. Hartman stated this was closing out the C-48 job. Mr. Castello stated, "so we're going to have an extra $112,000 floating?" Ms. Hartman stated yes.

Ms. Hartman stated she needed approval of Partial Payment No. 4 (Final) submitted by State Pipe Services, Inc. in the amount of $27,283.86 for work completed on the C-48 Phase II COA Source Flow Reduction and Sanitary Sewer Improvements Project (Contract No. 24-S3). Mr. Seibel stated, "remind me what area this work is in." Ms. Hartman stated, "We got Ryan Drive, there's Green Valley, so we're off of Green Tree Road. It's just a bunch of little areas where we did spot excavation and repair, and then lining through them. There we go on C48s. If everybody is okay with them, I'll have them on the agendas."

Ms. Hartman stated she needed approval of Change Order No. 1 submitted by LM&R Excavating, LLC in the amount of $31,127.42 for work scope adjustments resulting from unforeseen utility locations identified during construction of the Phase 1 PRP Project (Hope Street Pond; Spinner Field Pond; Green Commons Pond) Contract No. 24-ST01. Ms. Hartman stated there was a manhole buried under one of the ponds that they were unaware of which resulted in some adjusting. Ms. Hartman stated, "for the other pond we had to relocate a storm manhole and storm shoreline to avoid a fence."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "the sanitary manhole, I'm guessing it was a very old one that wasn't on any of our maps." Ms. Hartman stated yes. Mr. Castello stated, "is there still funds remaining?" Ms. Hartman stated, "value of this project for all three ponds was $1,109,579, completer to date was $618,252. So, we're still holding 10% retainage, and he's still got less than $451,069 to bill us. We'll add this to it, but, you know, there's always that final adjusting change order, which may be a little bit up, a little bit down, depending on what happens." Mr. Castello stated, "we always may have problems on the Hope Street pond, so we have to make sure we keep that open, too." Ms. Altman stated, "any other questions for that? We'll put that on for vote."

Ms. Hartman stated she needed approval of Partial Payment No. 3 submitted by LM&R Excavating, LLC in the amount of $105,366.30 for work completed between November 1, 2025 and November 24, 2025, on the Phase 1 PRP Project (Hope Street Pond; Spinner Field Pond; Green Commons Pond) Contract No. 24-ST-01. Ms. Altman stated, "any questions on that? We'll put that on for vote."

Standing Committee Report – Finance

Mr. Castello stated he needed approval on appointing Jordan Tax as the township real estate tax collector. Mr. Castello stated, "I know Nick and I aren't their favorite fans, but they're the best choice we have right now." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I'll say I know most of our issues had been with the sewage billing, but I haven't heard much of that lately. I'm not really aware of any issues with tax collection side of things, and I know their collection rate is up there, like, 95% plus." Mr. Castello stated Jordan Tax has the best rate to charge. Mr. Vogel stated, "previously, we rely on deputy tax collector. Now, they will be the tax collector."

Mr. Seibel stated, "there are still some lingering issues with receipt of sewer bills, and particularly if you have a high sewer bill, you can't pay it in one transaction online." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "You can. I called them and they walked me through it because I had one that was over that, I didn't get my one bill." Mr. Seibel stated, "you can if you create an account. You can't do it as..." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "no, you do not have to create an account. There was a

way that she walked me through that I was able to do it right away. It took me less than 5 minutes to get through. I actually called and thanked Bill Leonard."

Mr. Seibel stated, "My only remaining gripe is that they're still calling it Township Treatment because of the way their system is set up, and we don't actually treat sewage. We convey sewage." Ms. Fitgerald stated, "We did ask them to change that. I know Karla has sent them emails on that. We can follow up again, though."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "this is for a 4-year term?" Mr. Vogel stated yes. Mr. Dalfonso stated that he wanted "to make sure everybody's clear on that." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "we're going to get new quotes every single year that we have to approve?" Ms. Vogel stated that it is, "2026 through December 31, 2029." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "It's gonna be the $3.20 for the 4 years." Mr. Vogel stated, "Yeah, the only thing that will change based upon their cover letter is if postage goes up."

Standing Committee Report – Public Safety
Ms. Gazda stated Chief Podsiadly has an update. Chief Podsiadly stated for December there were 725 calls for service, 425 reports taken, 42 citations and 16 arrests. The applications for the police officer opening closed on December 19th. There were 23 applicants. Written and physical exams will be on Saturday, January 17th, 2026, at Chartiers Valley Intermediate School.

Chief Podsiadly stated, "we were awarded a grant from PCCD for $148,680 for the social worker grant that we put in for." Chief Podsiadly stated his first agenda item was to discuss authorizing the Chief of Police to advertise for the newly created position of Social Services Coordinator in the police department. Chief Podsiadly stated Angela Kenbach, the social worker from Hampton, provided the ad to place and she would be assisting with the entire process and training the new social worker. Chief Podsiadly stated Angela Kenbach told him that there were some strong candidates.

Chief Podsiadly stated, "I don't know if that requires a vote, but I wanted to bring it up here as far advertising, if it does require a vote, I would just ask that you put it on for the next meeting." Mr. Castello stated, "we could just ratify it." Mr. Vogel stated, "we could do that. Ratify it. It's very basic."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "I just have one question. I probably missed this. The grant for the employment of the social worker. Is it a 1 year?" Chief Podsiadly stated, "it's a two year grant, so that amount we could use over a two year period. Initially, the way we were looking at it was all the upstart costs with the exception of a vehicle and body armor, which we're not permitted by the grant to purchase with that money for some reason, and it's excluded, and then the second year would cover the benefits. But, now that that would be if they started in January, we're looking at mid-year, so we're actually building out the budget that we have to submit now for the grant to kind of spread that money out more, so it's going to cover, it's gonna go a lot further into next year."

Chief Podsiadly stated he needed approval of Resolution 1115-26 for the police services contract signed with Rosslyn Farms Borough. Ms. Altman stated, "Anyone have any issues with clarifying both of those tonight?" Mr. Vogel stated, "we actually signed this one little change I want to make. It's not a change to the agreement, it just references an ordinance. We just have to write down a resolution, that's all. The contract itself."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "I have a question. I don't know if this is the appropriate time, but on Green Tree Road and Kerr, going down, that intersection. Is there anything that can be done with that intersection? I've almost had a couple collisions in the last few weeks, it seems like people are traveling faster, not looking. They're going out towards Green Tree Road, so they're not looking at the people coming down Kerr, and then I thought it was just me until I saw car parts. So I knew that somebody recently had another accident." Mr. Giudici stated, "there was one down there a couple of weeks ago." Chief Podsiadly stated he would have the traffic officer take a look at this. Ms. Sorcan stated, "even if you stop at the stop sign coming down off a Kerr, you look to the left, and no cars. I mean, you see no cars. You can look so far back. By the time you get that 50 feet, there's a car, and then they fly onto the Old Greentree and they don't even look. you don't see them until right there." Chief Podsiadly stated, "we will have them look at it."

Mr. Giudici stated, "at the railroad crossing, that light for the left-hand turn, is that missing sometimes? I use that rod to go home a lot of times, say, between early in the morning between 8 and 9, the traffic comes and then the light just doesn't change." Chief Podsiadly stated, "we just recently got the same complaint, so we're gonna have to have product systems..." Mr. Giudici stated, "it has happened a number of times. One time, I did it 2 or 3 timed, I had to really actually get in the other lane and go straight onto Washington Pike."

Chief Podsiadly stated, "Technically, by law, you can make the left if it's safe if it doesn't..." Ms. Altman stated, "that's called a Pittsburgh Left." Chief Podsiadly stated he would have traffic systems evaluate the intersection.

Ms. Altman stated, "I got another request on the speed signs." Chief Podsiadly stated, "the permanent ones? It's in the guy's hands. They were coordinating with Bert. I actually talked to Bert, and he just wants them to show him where to put them." Ms. Altman stated, "appreciate it. Kathy anything else for tonight?" Ms. Gazda stated no.

Standing Committee Report – Public Works

Ms. Altman stated, "I think Nick had to take a call, but we do have Tom Kelly with the Public Works update. Tom?" Mr. Kelley stated, "Yes, good evening, Commissioners. I'm here virtually, speaking to you right now. I just wanted to report that in December, we had 11 snow events that we were called out for, and we used a total of 785 tons of rock salt. That's a lot of rock salt. 15 inches of snow. It seemed like when we did have snow, and the snow melted down, then we got a lot of freezeovers on the roads. We got calls out for those freezeovers. So that occupied a lot of our time. I think we have a couple events coming in the next couple days, and we should be prepared for them. Rock salt now is becoming a critical issue. The company that we have

that supplies rock salt hasn't really been able to deliver rock salt in a timely manner. We have an order for over 200 tons that's out and due. If it doesn't come in, we'll look into liquidated damages on that.
Another item that happens pretty frequently, during the winter, we send our crews out, we'll send two crews out to take a look at the storm catch basins. They do an inspection, and they will go open the basin up. We'll take a look at the grate, if there's any defects. They'll take pictures, and we'll schedule that. Uh, in our GIS system for repairs. Sanitary sewer work is always something that we're involved in. We'll have calls from residents complaining about slow flow on their lateral. We'll go out and check, we'll look between our manholes. If we see a defect, we'll do a CCTV report. We'll send that on to the engineer for inclusion in any sort of defect program that's being done. The road crew, one of the things that we try to do after storms, even, you know, after we've had a lot of wind and a lot of leaves blown along the side of the road, we try to get out and pick those items up. We'll take a look at the signs also, if there's any damage to the sign. We'll note those and prepare to make improvements, new signs or repairs. Curbside leaf pickup was a big issue. It is every year. We're out from October all the way to the first week in December. We put a lot of hours in, picked up a lot of leaves.
We get a lot of calls from people happy with the service, so I'm glad we can provide that.

Street sweeiping, we've been using the new sweeper. We try to get to all the wards. There's certain roads that we can't get down. If there are cars parked along the roads, or if they're too narrow, too twisty, or they just have a dead end. It's impossible to use that machine in those areas. We took down the veteran's memorial signs. We put up the Christmas lights and decorations. We took care of the amphitheater light-up night. The e-waste event was held in early November, but it's something that we participated in. We had a person on site to make sure that it operated smoothly. We review contract documents; anything related to the work that's being done by the engineer. We'll visit the construction site. You know, just to see how things are progressing, talk to the contractor and the engineer. We provide all the one-call markings, close to 1,000 one calls come in a year. Send a crew out, and we mark all those locations. We mark that one today for the hit that, I guess the water company made on Lindsey, but we got out, and we mark all those locations. We work with the utilities to make sure that they know that we're there, and that we mean business on restoration.

Right now, we're working on a recycling report. It's an annual recycling report that'll be submitted to the county, and through that, there's an opportunity to receive grant funding. So we did the surveys, sent the information out, we'll be preparing the report. AI is another thing that we've been interested in, and we drive the roads. Right now, we're preparing, working with the engineer to prepare a report showing all the critical rogues, the ones that are.\ at the lowest, the bottom of the barrel roads, more or less, and that's something that'll be presented to the commission, and we should have something put together by next week. Mr. Seibel stated, "thanks Tom." Ms. Altman stated, "any questions for Tom?"

Ms. Gazda stated, "I wanted to thank Tom, Ice pile up problem on Ryan Drive after every event. They've been doing a great job with it." Ms. Meyers stated, "Tom, I'd like to thank you guys. Tell the guys thank you for giving up their New Year's Eve, and staying out there all night, and they

did a great job." Mr. Kelley stated, "they really did." Ms. Altman stated, "alright Tom we apricate it, thank you so much. Nick, anything else for tonight?" Mr. Seibel stated, "nothing else for tonight, thanks."

Environmental Committee (Sewer Committee and MS4 Updates)

Ms. Altman stated Ms. Sorcan and Ms. Sedlak would both be the commissioners on that group. Ms. Sorcan stated that she has been in contact with the EAC and will be at their meeting tomorrow night. Ms. Sorcan stated the meeting would be at 6:00 PM.

Parks, Recreation and Public Relations Report

Ms. Meyers stated she needed approval of a request from Ave Maria Academy for the use of Scott Park and The Community Room on Sunday, March 22, 2026, to host their 2026 Shamrock Shuffle 5k race. Mr. Dalfonso stated, "We typically give the community room with it, too?" Ms. Meyers stated, "Last year, I think, is the first year we did that." Mr. Castello stated, "it's off-season, I don't know." Ms. Altman stated, "good with it?" Ms. Meyers stated, "we'll put it on for vote."

Ms. Altman stated she needed approval of renewing The Pittsburgh Lighthouse Cafe's contract at the pool concession stand for the 2026 season. Mr. Vogel stated, "one thing I looked at the contract, and it could be automatically renewed for 2 years. It's oddly worded where it says, 'unless we terminate them, it can renew for 2 years, subject to us reaching agreement on price. So, I don't know whether one of the factors can be involved. Do you want it 1 year, two years? What's the price you want to charge?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "we're gonna leave it the same." Ms. Meyers stated, "Was the original one one year?" Mr. Vogel stated, "it was one year of the two-year renewal. You can just leave it. I think all we would have to do then, if it's the board's pleasure to keep it as is, is just tell them that, hey, congratulations, now you have it for two more years."

Ms. Meyers stated, "so you don't need that on for a vote?" Mr. Vogel stated it would be put on for vote. Mr. Seibel stated, "I did see the request come through for additional equipment, and one of the requests is for a fryer, which I know the fried food is a hit. When we lacked it, we got some comments on it. That said, I look back, we bought a new fryer last year, and it was about $3,000 for the fryer, and we would have to install new electric to support that fryer as well. So, I'm thinking that might be a $4,000 or $5,000 bill. I'm wondering if we can ask them if they would allow us to budget for it next year as opposed to doing it this."

Ms. Meyers stated, "my only problem with putting that stuff in is that I think all this should have been at budget time. So if you're going to come in with it now, you may have to wait and make do with whatever you can." Mr. Sorcan stated, "was there also mention of a freezer?" Ms. Meyers stated, "yes the freezer was broken. We had to have that looked at 2 years ago too." Mr. Seibel stated stat the freezer should be able to be serviced.

Mr. Castello stated, "did anybody tell them they were supposed to provide us with any kind of budget requests? I didn't." Ms. Fitzgerald stated no. Mr. Castello stated, "So I don't know how you can fault them for not asking if they didn't know they had to ask. So next year, we should

make sure we tell them to have the number." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "Is there anything in the budget for any kind of that purpose at all?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "you guys do have that $100,000 that is coming in through with Shacog. You could use that for this. I would hate to have them wait, only because there is a very strong need for the fryers." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "is a benefit to us to have that additional fryer, because we don't want people waiting 20, 30 minutes for a snack when water breaks over, or whatever it is, and obviously, like I said, the freezer's supposed to be serviced and not the need."

Mr. Seibel stated to Ms. Hartman, "how soon can we get a price on what it would take to run another circuit for it? I assume that would be you. I mean, it might be Public Works, if it's minor in the public." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "Wright Electric. I can come out and take a look. That's who I normally have to come out and do it, and I could get that ready for you guys for vote at the end of the month."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "They're using an electric fryer?" Mr. Seibel stated, "they're both electric, yeah." Ms. Sorcan stated, "So you couldn't go to gas? I'm just thinking about the cost." Mr. Seibel stated, "you'd have to add exhaust CFM, there's a lot of infrastructure involved in that. For this type of stand, too, I think then you don't have the pilot lights and some of the other hazards." Ms. Hartman stated, "were there always two fryers?" Ms. Meyers stated, "there was two a long time ago, years ago. But for the last two concessionaires before this, they didn't work." Ms. Hartman stated, "okay, I was just worried about the panel." Ms. Altman stated, "I'm in agreement that we can look at, if we can pull it off. Let's set them up for a successful summer."

Mr. Vogel stated, "and you'll just work it out with them Denise as far as like, if there's any modifications." Ms. Meyers stated, "will there still be something in the contract that we can still let them go if there was an issue?" Mr. Vogel and Ms. Fitzgerald both stated yes. Mr. Seibel stated, "just to be clear, the fryer that we bought last year is a single unit, but it's a two-basket capacity. So we'd be giving them 4 baskets of capacity if we had another one."

Ms. Meyers stated she needed approval for the re-hire of Benon Brewer as the Pool Manager for the 2026 pool season at an annual rate of $35,000.00. Ms. Meyers stated that Mr. Brewer was present should the board have any questions. Mr. Castello stated, "everyone is pleased." Ms. Meyers stated, "glad you are coming back." Mr. Brewer stated thank you.

Ms. Meyers stated that she needed approval of hiring two (2) Assistant Pool Managers for the 2026 pool season at an hourly rate of $20.00/hour. Ms. Meyers stated, "we can put that on for vote."

Ms. Meyers stated she needed approval of awarding the 2026 recreation program to Jumpstart Sports. Ms. Meyers stated that this was the one that was most relevant last year.

Library Liaison Report

Ms. Altman stated that Mr. Giudici was the new library liaison. Mr. Giudici stated that he did not have anything to add.

SHACOG

Mr. Seibel stated the next board meeting was on Thursday and he was planning on attending. If he cannot attend one of the alternates will be present.

Standing Committee Report – Administration

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "for the month of December, we've received a couple of grants. The municipal building generator replacement, uh, CFA, DCD, we received $300,000. Hope Hollow Road at Greentree Road traffic signal upgrades through the Green Light Go. $145,192. Lastly, we have the ADA Lodge doors through CD51 from Shacog for $20,000. I want to thank Marie for all of her hard work in helping with those grants. We have a couple different things that are happening in the township that I think the board will appreciate, and also our residents. We have ClearGov, the 2026 budget book, is currently being updated and should be on the website shortly. We have GoGov working on implementing a branded mobile app that syncs with our website calendar of events and allows consistent social media postings across all platforms. So that would be across Facebook, Instagram, and I believe our website would also be a part of that."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "we've replaced some of ClearGov's transparency with Polco. It's a budget simulation for residents to utilize to see how their tax dollars are spent, and how budget changes would impact overall budget. It integrates safe private AI to assist with stronger grant applications."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "We have upcoming site survey for all township-owned technology to solidify asset schedule, and I believe that is going to be set for the end of the month, if everyone can please bring in their equipment."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated that a TAN loan would be done this year. Ms. Fitzgerald stated that this is the first time since she has been there that this has been done, and they are looking at conducting it in February. Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "just so that everyone knows what that is, tax anticipation note, a loan that you get in anticipation of the tax money coming in. Last year, it's been a little bit difficult making sure that all the bills were paid on time by the time Last year, it's been a little bit difficult making sure that all the bills were paid on time."

Mr. Castello stated, "is this going to be, like, a credit line type of situation, or we start paying on a dime once?" Mr. Dalfonso stated that it has to be paid before the end of this year. Mr. Castello stated that they had taken one the first year he was on the board and they haven't taken one since. Mr. Dalfonso stated that he was , "slightly alarmed."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated that it was budgeted for 2026. Mr. Castello stated if "they were paying a credit line where they'd only get charged interest, or do they only start once it is approved?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated last year they had some difficulty paying bills on time and were ensuring it was there as a failsafe. Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "which is also why we asked the fire departments not to ask for their money until after April. It's just other things that have been coming up and we do ask department heads to hold off on their expenses until April."

Mr. Seibel stated, "what is the interest rate? Is there a range, or?" Ms. McGill stated, "we're waiting for them to finalize some documents, but it should be around 4 percent." Mr. Giudici stated, "how much do you anticipate withdrawing?" Ms. McGill stated, "In interest, we budgeted around $100,000. It would be on $1.3 million." Mr. Giudici stated, "Yeah, but do you plan on using that much money?" Ms. McGill stated it would depend on cash flow. Ms. Fitzgerald stated they were hoping not to.

Mr. Giudici stated, "Just so you borrow it as the tax receipts come in, you pay off, you pay it down, just like any other loan." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "Is this a consequence that, in years past, other than this, we haven't really funded any reserves to kind of float us in the beginning of the year?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated yes and that "we always want a cash reserve. In the years past we really have not." Mr. Castello stated, "I don't remember this year, but it was roughly around $150,000 we have at the end of the year to start the new year."

Mr. Seibel stated, "I don't mind having it. I would love to see if we didn't use it, if we were strategic even with our engineering projects." Mr. Giudici stated, "Well, that's gonna be hard to do if you only have 150,000 under the next year, and you're... you don't get your taxes until, what? April?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "that's earned income. The real estate doesn't start coming in until later in the year."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "Do we have a large portion that takes advantage of the 2% discount in those first couple of months?" Mr. Giudici stated, "I would thing so." Mr. Vogel stated, "everybody under a mortgage would." Mr. Dalfonso state3d that he was, "curious to kind of see what our initial cash flow is like in the first couple of quarters, just to kind of see what that looks like." Ms. McGill stated, "I will say the only difference that I've seen from a cash flow standpoint is that when you allowed for the installment payments to be spread out, there were more that did take advantage of that." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "oh, okay" and Mr. Giudici stated, "I'm sure they would."

Ms. Meyers stated, "Denise Marie, thanks for all of your hard work getting the grants. That really helps us out tremendously with our budget.." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "I have to give a lot of credit to Marie. Marie's been wonderful with assisting with these grants." Ms. Hartman stated thank you. Ms. Altman stated, "good team effort."

Conferences and Workshops

Ms. Altman stated she did not have anything to add.

Public Comments on Items Not Listed on the Agenda

There were no public comments on the agenda.

Commissioner's Request

Ms. Gazda stated that she did not have anything to add.

Mr. Dalfonso stated that he did not have anything to add.

Ms. Sorcan stated, "I had received a call from one of the residents regarding a barking dog, I guess he lives in a condo or townhouse. He is concerned because of the noise created by the dog and he was hoping that there could be some resolution. He's called the police department, and they've been out there a few times. They don't normally, I think, have heard anything when they go. I don't know how you resolve it. And I did talk to Mark, who did tell me that oftentimes they have condo associations that they could go back to."

Ms. Meyers stated, "Mark, doesn't our ordinance say that if they bark consistently for 30 minutes that is considered a nuisance?" Mr. Mox stated, "Yes, and it also states that that's outside. This is an inside issue." Ms. Meyers stated, "Maybe that should be looked at, because I had one next to mine, too, up until recently that barked all night long, continuous. Thank God I didn't sleep in the back of the house, because the police would be knocking at their door every night. But whether they're outside or inside, you're listening to that, and that's a nuisance." Mr. Giudici stated, "we have children sleeping who don't sleep." Mr. Castello stated that they could file a private criminal complaint with the magistrate. Ms. Meyers stated, "I just think it should be added into our ordinance, that whether it's inside or outside, your dog's barking enough that the neighbors can hear it for 30 minutes, that's a problem."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "the problem is evidence." Mr. Mox stated, "yeah, we would need something that we could measure. So if you have a noise ordinance that has requirements for measuring it, what level they've exceeded. So now, you know, it can be enforced. So we would need something on that end of it. I just think it would be very difficult to do." Mr. Castello stated, "Yeah, because they're two different things. Noise, because it's too loud, is one thing. It can be not extremely loud, still be very annoying if you live right next door to it, so I think they're better off filing a criminal complaint." Ms. Altman stated, "we'll suggest that."

Mr. Seibel stated, "thanks to the fire departments that are sitting up on Lindsay, waiting for the gas line repair. They've been up there for several hours now." Ms. Altman stated, "they're confident that's going to get taken care of?" Mr. Seibel stated, "sounds like it."

Mr. Castello stated that he did not have anything to add.

Ms. Meyers stated that she did not have anything to add.

Mr. Giudici stated that he did not have anything to add tonight.

Mr. Vogel stated that they would need an executive session that night for legal purposes.

Adjournment

Ms. Altman stated that she needed a motion to adjourn the meeting. Motioned by Mr. Giudici, seconded by Ms. Meyers

All in Favor

Meeting adjourned at 8:56 PM.

Executive session (Legal purposes)

Attest: _____

TOWNSHIP OF SCOTT
SEAL
ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PA.