Scott Township Workshop Meeting Minutes

Scott Township
Tuesday, February 10, 2026 at 7:30 PM EST
301 Lindsay Rd Carnegie Pa 15106 and Zoom

Call to Order, Moment of Prayer, and Pledge of Allegiance
Mr. Seibel stated, "Good evening, everyone." Mr. Seibel stated that he'd like to call Township Workshop Meeting to order for Tuesday, February 10, 2026. Ms. Seibel stated, "if we could please start with a prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance." The township prayer and Pledge of Allegiance were conducted.

Roll Call: Eileen Meyers - Present
Jane Sorcan - Present
Stacey Altman, President –Present via Zoom
Samuel Dalfonso, Esq. - Present
Thomas Castello, Esq. - Present
Michelle Sedlak –Present via Zoom
Nick Seibel, Vice President - Present
Kathleen Gazda - Present
Donald Giudici - Present

Also present: Denise Fitzgerald-Township Manager
Karla McGill, Assistant Township Manager-Finance (present via Zoom)
John Bojarski, Assistant Township Manager-Operations
John Vogel, Esq, Solicitor
Marie Hartman, Engineer
Kevin A. Brett, Engineer
Tom Kelley, Director of Public Services (present via Zoom)
Mark Mox, Planning, Zoning and Enforcement Officer
Michael Rudolph, Interim Administrative Assistant

Public Comments on all Agenda Items Listed Below
No public comments were made.

Planning, Zoning, and Code Enforcement
Mr. Mox stated that for January 2026, 16 permits were issued for a value of $1.3 million, which included six single family homes from the Nixon School Development. Mr. Mox stated that 17 notices of violation were issued. Mr. Mox stated that 3 magistrate hearings, 15 rental inspections, 8 building inspections, and one right-to-know were conducted.

Mr. Mox stated 3 homes have been completed at the Nixon School development. Mr. Mox stated, "certificates of occupancy have been issued for them. One would be the model, and then the two other ones, it's my understanding that they've all closed on those. So they are under new ownership." Mr. Mox stated that 10 permits have been issued for construction at the Nixon School Development for a total of 13. Mr. Mox stated that 2 permits have been approved and issued, and there are three under review.

Mr. Seibel stated, "Discuss an ordinance restating Ordinance 1179-82 and confirming the Township's obligations with regard to animals running at large within the Township of Scott. Is there any further discussion on that?" Mr. Dalfonso stated, "what was the change on that?" Mr. Mox stated, "there's a number of changes. We completely updated the current ordinance, primarily to look at the definition of offering custodian, to put some of this back onto people that might be feeding these animals and so forth, and keeping them in the neighborhood in not allowing them just to roam normally in a safe way. And so that was asked by the magistrate judge to make sure that we can enforce that properly. We also just kind of cleaned it up, just bringing it up to code."

Ms. Gazda stated, "can animal control pick up the cat?" Mr. Mox stated, "I've called them a number of times, and they've just told me that they don't have the room."

Mr. Seibel stated, "we'll move on to discuss an ordinance adopting the 2021 International Property Maintenance Code." Mr. Mox stated, "so this is very important. The building code follows the same 2021 international codes, and so we felt that we'd best stay current with that. We were also informed that as our ordinance reads, is that we adopt this code, and it says, 'and any subsequent revisions or amendments thereto,' but that really won't hold up in court. John, I think you can attest to that if you can."

Mr. Vogel stated, "Yes, I mean, I think what they look for is the specificity in the adoption of later codes. Maybe perhaps there'll be decisions by the magistrates in the downtown doing that, but I think whenever there's a new International Property Maintenance Code that comes out, coinciding with the states, it's best to just have that because, again, when Mark is let's say before the magistrate, but more so downtown, there's always someone who's going to raise these technical objections. And all it takes is to publish an ordinance and that way we've kind of really solidified our defenses on that."

Mr. Mox stated, "so the last time we adopted the code was for the 2009 IPMC, and one of the items that there are various sections in the code that allows you to input, such as the name of the township, code enforcement department that will enforce it, grass can't be more than 10 inches tall, then dates of when screens are required, and heat, and so forth. So, those have been added to this ordinance and would be included in that, in case anybody would ever challenge that."

Mr. Vogel stated, "I believe the code itself understands that there could be some local changes to that, and that's what we've done in this." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "10 inches seems a little generous with grass." Mr. Mox stated, "I know that we maintain about 6 inches. It's probably a generous amount, you know, just for the heavy rains, which brings it so forth. We've been enforcing it, and it seems to work."

Mr. Seibel stated, "we'll move on to discuss a resolution to approve the acquisition and subsequent disposition of the vacant parcel 144-R-82, formerly 128 Klein Way, to be acquired

by Harry and Jolie Fitcher through the Allegheny County Vacant Property Recovery Program, in accordance with the comprehensive plan of the municipality. I know we have some folks in attendance for this item as well."

Mr. Mox stated, "if anyone recalls, this is one we demolished a few years back. Now it's an empty lot. So, it would be perfect that lives next door." Mr. Vogel stated, "The only comment I make is this is consistent with the accounting procedures on here, and so the county. The interested people go through the county, but there's certain things we have to sign off on, and among them is the resolution, so." Mr. Mox stated, "if you notice the application, the price, there was an error made with that. But there was a letter from the county that clarified what the actual price would be that they would pay. In total, looks like it's $6,900 for you that's left." Mr. Seibel stated, "we'll be voting on this in two weeks."

Mr. Seibel stated, "we'll move onto discuss a resolution to approve the acquisition and subsequent disposition of Lot & Block # 102-K-235, 340 Magazine Street by Michael A Pruss through the Allegheny County Vacant Property Program in accordance with the comprehensive plan of the municipality." Mr. Mox stated, "There's somebody here also representing. It's a parcel adjacent to their home. It's my understanding that they have to maintain that."

Ms. Pruss of 342 Magazine St stated, "hi my name is Allisa Pruss. I'm married to Michael. We've been maintaining the property since 2004. We've been doing everything we possibly can with it, pretty much maintaining everything about it. And also, there's a tree, that is kind of in a not good position right now. We really need to get that cut down. So, on the other aspect of it, we would like to cut the tree down. So therefore I would purchase the property, we'd cut this down. We believe it's not as good as it should be."

Mr. Vogel stated, "I'll probably add something from a legal perspective. I mean, what we've had withthe applicants tonight, it really reflects what the county's program is about. Outside of a program like this, it's very difficult to acquire properties that are really not being taken care of and not of any use. Ms. Pruss stated, "sorry to interrupt you, but we have been retaining it for several years now. We've been maintaining it with my father in law who's passed now, he lived next door. And we've been maintaining it ever since."

Mr. Seibel stated, "we'll have our vote in two weeks." Ms. Pruss stated, "Do I need to come back?" Mr. Seibel stated, "I don't think so. I don't hear much opposition here. You're welcome to join the Zoom, you know, watch on Facebook as well."

Mr. Seibel stated, "We will move on to 3F, which is discuss ratifying the advertising of an ordinance for vacating the unopened alley bordering parcels 101-P-65, 101-P-52 and 101-P-60 with the intent that after the alley is vacated it would be part of the Russman Plat Adjustment Subdivision Plan as recommended by the Planning Commission." Mr. Castello stated, "we did that already." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "We had the hearing. Now, this is the advertising of the ordinance for passage."

Solicitor's Report
Mr. Vogel stated that he did not have anything to add.

Engineer's Report
Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of Partial Payment No. 4 submitted by LM&R Excavating, LLC in the amount of $228,559.83 for work completed between November 25, 2025 and January 30, 2026 for Contract No. 24-ST01 - 2024 Stormwater Improvements Project (to be paid from the Bond Fund). LSSE recommended for payment February 6, 2026; 45-day payment date is March 23, 2026.

Ms. Hartman stated, "just speaking to this, work was done by LM&R. This is the first of the stormwater PRP projects that we bid. Everything's in order, and I would recommend we put this on the agenda for approval at our next meeting. Mr. Castello stated, "where is this one located?" Ms. Hartman stated, "so this is your first set of ponds. This is Hope Street, Spinner, Green Commons."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "there's a lot of progress on Green Commons over there. All of a sudden, it looks like a Stormwater Pond." Mr. Castello stated, "Denise is everything satisfactory as far as you know on Hope Street? I have not had any more complaints." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "we had an inquiry from Mr. Wilkerson but I believe Tom did talk to him and he was satisfied."

Mr. Seibel stated, "early on in this process we had at the Public Works Committee, we had discussed putting signage up about what these ponds do. Have we made any progress on that, or do we need to revisit that?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated that they need to revisit it. Ms. Hartman stated, "I have that on my list of things that you, I took note of that, and we need to work on language to put a sign up at each one of the facilities."

Mr. Seibel stated, "I think at completion is fine, it's just that it's, you know, you kind of look at them as a geographical feature." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "as far as the educational component, do we have, like, progress pictures from all of these?" Ms. Hartman stated, "We do have pictures that are our on-site personnel take. Um, we have a whole array of them. We'll have to talk about what kind of language you want on these signs. I don't know if you just want, like, an identification sign, or if you want a little bit of, you know, you know, what it is and why it's here."

Mr. Seibel stated, "is it too late to put it on the newsletter?" Mr. Rudolph stated that he was unsure. Mr. Dalfonso stated, "Even if we definitely get, like, a portion of our website dedicated to MS4 education, that helps us with our credit stuff, and it'd be good to see where probably a few million dollars is gonna end up going once all nine of these are done." Ms. Meyers stated, "you can even put something like that out in the hall. It would be easily accessible. There's plenty of space out there."

Ms. Hartman stated, "next is to discuss the North Rand Stormwater drainage improvements. This is your North Wren project." Ms. Hartman stated that this is the storm sewer and sanitary

sewer replacement beginning at the stream discharge and running all the way to the hospital. Ms. Hartman stated Cronin Enterprises, who is doing the C53 project, sanitary sewer rehab, and PRP Phase 2 bid. Ms. Hartman stated JetJack was the other bid on the project. Ms. Hartman stated they were both competent. Ms. Hartman stated, "there wasn't much difference between the bids, less than $200,000, low bid came in at $3.75 million. It's a difficult job. It's really, really deep. I think with your blessing, would like to look at some options here and bring them back to you. We do have three sources of funds on these, on this project. Denise and I have talked about some options, but I think with your blessing, we do have the ability to hold these bids for a period of time. I would like to table this, do some homework, and then we can revisit some options."

Mr. Castello stated, "since this is so far over the budget, would it be appropriate to remand this back to Public Works Committee to look through it before it comes back here?" Mr. Seibel stated, "probably makes sense." Mr. Castello stated he was not blaming Ms. Hartman for the project being over budget. Mr. Giudici stated, "give us the budget Tom." Mr. Castello stated, "a little over 2 million." Mr. Giudici stated, "that's like a third over, almost more than that. 40%. A lot of money."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "As far as figures, Marie, was this in line with what you guys might have anticipated, or is this...?" Ms. Hartman stated, "when we started to put together firm costs about a month before, 3-4 weeks before bid and talking to contractors, it's not the price of the materials, it's the depth. There's really nothing we can do to change the depth. It's super deep between those houses. I mean, even taking a 20-foot easement, we're into people's houses, so there's parts where that easement really isn't 20 foot, it's wall-to-wall on the house, and it's, you just can't get through there."

Ms. Hartman stated, "if you remember, the whole purpose of the project was originally to upsize that storm sewer to carry the 100-year storm from the hospital area down to the stream. Sanitary is right there, so you can't replace one without replacing the other. And then following up with Public Works, it came to light that that sanitary is not in good condition, it's full of obstructions, they can't get through with the camera. When we tried to get some information, there is a need for both of them to be replaced. You're going to have to do them together. I think it's time to just take a step back and take a look at what else we can do there and how we can make this work."

Ms. Altman stated, "how long ago did we come up with the $2 million price tag?" Ms. Hartman stated, "it's been growing, Stacey, since it started. When we did the capital budget, we had 2 million in there for it, just kind of as a guess. We really didn't know where this was going to end up. Once it went out to bid, once we started getting contractor questions, and some feedback from them, I mean it became evident that they were worried about structure stability on a couple of those houses. An option may be buying a house and moving it, just to be safe so that we don't damage something. It's a little drastic, but I don't know any other way to make the project work."

Ms. Altman stated, "is there any way to split this? Do part of it this year, towards the end of the year, and the second half move it to next year, so we can budget?" Ms. Hartman stated, "that's kind of what Denise and I were talking about earlier. Some of the grant money we have may have a deadline that we need to meet. So if we if we work that part this year with our required match, we could be able to start up at the upper limits of the project, where it's in an easier dig. It's nowhere near as deep, and we can get through some of that. And then deal with regulating that stormwater flow to the lower sections until we get that lower section done. So there are ways to do it and that is one thing Denise and I kind of batted back and forth today. So we will revisit that after we have some options."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "Marie, how many houses are being threatened?" Mr. Hartman stated, "I don't want to speak out of turn. I mean, I really need to look at it, but there's one particular area where it goes between two houses, one of them is going to be an issue. There's other sections where it goes between houses that aren't quite as critical. One in particular that I think would be questionable."

Ms. Hartman stated, "so following up on our stormwater discussions, we have some grant money for, uh, the Oaks Stormwater improvements. If you remember, that's Spreading Oaks, Sturdy Oak, that whole oaks area. The project for bid, obviously we're going to split it into sections, so we're interested in advancing phase 1. We do have some grant for it, and with your blessing, I'd like to prep that and get it out to bid, and then we can see where those numbers come in and start that ball rolling."

Mr. Seibel stated, "what's the opinion of probable cost?" Ms. Hartman stated, "we're going to tailor it to the funding." Mr. Seibel stated he was wondering if, "there's any chance we could transfer some of that budget over to get North Wren." Ms. Hartman stated, "we had a couple other ideas for North Wren. Um, they may be a little more lengthy." Ms. Fitzgerald stated "this one was written specifically for the Oak."

Ms. Hartman stated, "some of these grants, like, we have to be careful. Some of them require more information, like a location map and a really detailed description. The Oaks was specific, as Denise said, for that area. The other grant that we were thinking of was written very vaguely." Mr. Seibel stated they both need done. Ms. Hartman stated, "in your engineer's report, there's a breakdown of the funding we have for North Wren. Once we put some better options together, we'll be able to have a more detailed discussion on how we want to move forward in any scenario about looking into some of the grants and seeing what have and what is specific to an area, and what might be able to be whittled around. Concerning the Oaks are we okay to put that on for the next meeting?" Mr. Seibel stated yes.

Ms. Hartman stated she needed approval of Discuss Bond Reduction Request No. 9 submitted by Flash Point Partners, LLC reducing the Site Improvement Bond from $871,996.34 to $829,791.45 for the Nixon School Residential Development Project. Ms. Hartman stated, "that is their typical monthly request. You guys do typically approve it at your Agenda Meeting, and then ratified at your Regular Meeting, if you are okay with that, then please let me know."

Mr. Seibel stated, "do you see them coming back every month with this?" Ms. Hartman stated, "yes. The requests are getting smaller and smaller as they dwindle down, but this has been their typical practice." Mr. Seibel asked if there was any opposition to approving it that night and ratifying it at the voting meeting. Ms. Altman, Mr. Dalfonso and Mr. Castello stated no. Mr. Seibel stated it was approved.

Ms. Hartman stated she needed approval of Columbia Gas / Lindsay Road Street Opening Permit No. 3 providing restoration requirements for Lindsay Road from 60' North of Ursula Drive to Cynthia Drive. Ms. Hartman stated that they submitted documentation for street opening permit number 3. Ms. Hartman stated they would transmit it to the township in a formal letter. Ms. Hartman stated, "it's that section above Ursula to Cynthia, so this is commensurate with the discussions that Kevin has had with them and we would ask that we can put this on the agenda for approval at our next meeting."

Mr. Seibel stated, "Kevin, this is the section where they're just cutting to test, right? They're no open cutting?" Mr. Brett stated, "yeah, this is the section where they're going to be working in the shoulders on those laterals, 17 on one side, 8 on the other. They have to put a bidding on, lower the pressure for those. We said they had to redo the whole shoulder. One had 17, the other ones looked similar."

Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of the Road AI roadway ranking results and 2026 Road Improvement Program selections. Ms. Hartman stated, "Tom Kelley has been working with Adam from our office to manipulate that software to produce the data in a form that you guys can easily interpret and work with in terms of coming, um, coming up with road selection The letter is uploaded into on base. It is also part of the engineer's packed that I emailed you. In the submittal it breaks down the top 7 or the worst 7 per ward in all of the 9 wards and then it breaks down the worst roads in all wards combined. The intent of this is to give you guys the information so that you can come up with roadway selections in a timely manner so that we can get the road program pulled together and out to bid. As we've talked about every year, the later we go out to bid, the higher the prices are, and some of the better quality contractors have already filled their schedule and aren't able to offer us a bid. With that said, I think probably what you're going to want to spend most of your time looking at is the large 11x17 table that's attached to the letter. This is the worst roads in all the wards so that you guys can have your discussions and come to a consensus on what you think would be the best selections."

Ms. Hartman stated, "now, there are numbers associated with these, and they're just super vague. They were based on a cost per lineal foot to, um, improve the road, so there hasn't been a formal study done on any of these roads. These numbers don't include things like drainage improvements, catch basin work, any work we might need to do on a sanitary shore if it's compromised. Cleaning and televising, there's a lot of things that aren't in these numbers. This is just kind of a ballpark so that you can say, I have this much in my road budget, I'm going to pick these 10 roads. I'm happy to meet with anybody, if we want to talk through this, if we want to have a work session on it, um, anything I can do to help you along. It was interesting

though, pretty much the public works recommendations for the bad roads, the worst ones in each ward, and the worst ones overall kind of matched up with the AI process, so it is working that way it is intended to work. Obviously, as we talked, I mean, it does evaluate surface condition and things like that. What it doesn't give you is, are there drainage complaints on these roads? That's where the subjectivity of your Public Works guys come in. So, as a tool, it is really cool. It is nice to be able to manipulate the data in the way we do, and it does produce a map. It's a lot of information, but it's there, you know, for your review and to help you make some selections."

Nr, Seibel stated, "how confident are you in the asphalt condition percentage? I'm looking at some of the better roads, and I would think that the asphalt condition would be much higher than, like, 46.5% Is that, you know, essentially remaining life? Is that a way to read that, or...?" Ms. Hartman stated, "I think that's more so the, you know, good versus bad sections. I would look at that more at, like, relative to each other, as opposed to, you know, a hard number."

Mr. Castello stated, "what was allocated?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated $666,000, including the park paving. Ms. Altman stated, "we have been going with the worst roads, and not really going by ward, and there's been some requests that we go back to wards. There are nine number ones on here. Now, some of the percentages on the number twos are worse than some of the ones that are further down the list, if that makes sense."

Mr. Castello stated, "I don't think that's true. Are you sure Stacy? Because I looked at it, and I thought the percentages match up pretty well." Ms. Altman stated, "what I'm saying is what if we took these top worst roads and started, and got one for each ward, and then go back up, and see what we can fill in with our budget. Does that make sense?"

Mr. Castello stated, "I've been an advocate of every ward, and I've been slammed down every year about that." Ms. Altman stated, "Tom, this is me trying to meet you halfway." Mr. Castello stated, "I'm not complaining. I've been waiting for this, and now that we have this, I'm more satisfied." Mr. Giudici stated, "that change went back 7, 8 years ago." Mr. Castello stated, "I've been fighting it every year." Ms. Altman stated, "so what I'm saying is, start at the top, go down, hit each ward, not in order, but hit 1 for each ward, as long as it's within the number one, and then go back up to the top, fill in as you go down to fit our budget. Does that make sense? Like I'm not saying hit the top and hit the worst roads from the very top. There's enough roads from each ward, I think, that are number ones that we could probably hit everybody, and then that way we're doing both."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "I'm just thinking, I don't have the papers in front of me, but when I looked at them, there were some wards that, yeah, they were a number one, but they were, like, 46%. And so, I mean, if you're looking at the whole township overall, and you're assuming that people are moving everywhere in the township, I think you have to look at the overall township first and be most concerned, as opposed to just finding roads fixed in your ward that maybe don't really need fixed yet."

Ms. Altman stated, "I agree with you wholeheartedly, and this is what our plan has been. But I think that maybe somewhere between my suggestion and hitting the worst roads we can possibly make everyone happy." Mr. Castello stated, "I think with the costs of these roads, that's not gonna happen." Mr. Seibel stated, "we have an 8.6 in Ward 5. I mean, that's one of the lowest percentages I see, if the percentages are accurate."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I don't think we're gonna hit in everybody's ward. If we do, that's fantastic, but my priority is the worst roads. But I'd also like to see, kind of what Marie's pointing out, is just Tom Kelley and Marie kind of one go through see the roads that are heavily traveled and do we have other issues with them. Like in my ward there's Lochlin down by the township border. There's not that many residents that really travel that. Like, I would rather, say, see Pembroke, or a portion of Pembroke in my ward done if it happens to be a very highly traveled road for everybody over there, if it's up on the list. I sure there are others around the township that get used way more frequently than some streets."

Mr. Castello stated, "It's changing the philosophy that I was preaching for the last few years. For the last few years, I was told we're not gonna go by ward, we're gonna go by what the engineer and what Public Works say is the worst, waiting for this report." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "and that's like a mass report, but this report doesn't give the entire picture of the road." Mr. Castello stated, "Well, I think it tells whether it's... I mean, when I was told why we were buying this program was to tell us what were the worst roads. Isn't that what I was told, Denise?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "and it does." Mr. Castello stated, "okay, so these are the worst roads."

Mr. Giudici stated, "what do they total?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "more than we have budgeted." Mr. Castello stated, "I'm looking at what we have budgeted. We get maybe four roads done." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "there were some of the other issues that they didn't look at, whether we have to do drainage work on some of these roads, or whether they're this, or there's that. It's not going to show us the entire picture, but it's going to give us a hell of a good idea on how bad some of these roads truly are."

Mr. Castello stated, "I'm not speaking for Michelle, but when we went through this and we wrote down on her roads down in East Carnegie, that was never a consideration. It was what the engineer and what Public Works said were the worst roads." Mr. Giudici stated "that's the way it started about 8 years ago." Ms. Sedlak stated, "I do think that there should be some sort of a hybrid between, like what absolutely needs to be done and making sure that it's fair for all of the wards. Like, some sort of a hybrid between the two. However I spoke with somebody today who did bring it to my attention that if we don't get this on the books now, we're gonna be behind the eight ball, and that's what I don't want to have happen. So, I'd actually rather see way more add-ons if that's a thing Marie, because my concern is that winter's not over yet, and I don't know what's gonna happen to any of these roads in the next six weeks."

Ms. Hartman stated, "another thing to consider for your decision-making process is we have one table in here that's all wards road number one, so this is, like, the worst road in each ward overall. So if you look at that, it's ordering them by asphalt condition. But if you look at the

length, like there's Woodridge Road is almost seventeen-hundred feet long, so obviously it's commanding the largest financial investment. You could also consider maybe splitting that, or, you know, reducing that length to bring the cost down so that you could stay within your budget, and it's just something I want to throw out there." Mr. Seibel stated, "The only concern I hate about that is if you mobilize on one road, then you pull them off and send them across the township to do another road. It becomes inefficient at some point."

Ms. Altman stated, "I just did a rough addition of the nine number one roads that are listed there. It's about $625,000." Mr. Castello stated, "It's $655,050 Stacey, it's on a page here."

Ms. Altman stated, "alright, so our budget is possibly $566,000." Mr. Castello stated, "no, $666,000. So, it's around $550, that's what you said." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "that includes the park."

Mr. Seibel stated, "how do we want to proceed?" Mr. Castello stated, "Well, I feel like if we paid all the money for this program, which and I was told we were going to follow it, we follow it." Mr. Giudici stated, "I don't think it should change, no. Originally was we were going to do all the bad roads. I would have thought that was 8 years ago. I thought we would at least covered them by now but apparently we still have." Mr. Castello state, Don every year the roads get worse. Last five years, at least, we've been dealing with the worst roads, supposedly." Mr. Giudici stated, "I know. But you should have the majority, 8 years, you should have the majority of the really bad roads out of the way by now."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "unfortunately, the dollar just doesn't get spent as far as you would think it would." Mr. Giudici stated, "I understand that. But I think you have to continue doing what you're doing on the worst roads until you get to the point where then you could start breaking it up by ward again." Mr. Seibel stated, "you either need to do that, or you need to budget more." Mr. Giudici stated, "in the budget apparently, we don't have it. And you won't have it next year. Or the year after that."

Mr. Castello stated, "when Larry did a survey a number of years ago, at that point we needed over $6 million to do all the roads. And that's, like 10 years ago, which would have been $600,000 a year and we haven't done that every year. It was a lot of money to cover all the roads and we couldn't do it." Mr. Seibel stated, "what I like about the investment of Road AI is we're not just looking at one or two people's opinion driving around the township. We actually have real data, putting that forward, making decisions based on it. Even if we don't cover all the red at least we're working on the red." Mr. Giudici stated, "taking the politics out of it." Mr. Seibel stated, "yes." Mr. Giudici stated, "I think you have to continue doing that."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "my only point is I want to attack the red, but I also want to attack the red that is utilized by a majority of our township. I don't want to attack red necessarily because it's the worst road. And it's in the middle of nowhere here three houses use it, no offense to those three people." Mr. Giudici stated, "oh you should pull those out I agree there. My question is if it's less traveled then how did it get so bad?" Mr. Dalfonso stated, "We probably haven't

touched it in decades. That's the thing." Ms. Hartman stated, "the one large table, it would be the last table in your packet. This organizes the roads by asphalt condition, so it starts with the worst asphalt condition, and I'm just gonna pick on this one, because it, I mean, I'll make an example out of it. So, it starts with Mary Street. 260 feet long, got an 8.6% asphalt condition, $27,000. If you go through this table, this is organizing them by the worst condition, getting better. So, you can look and say, okay, well, Mary Street, you know, maybe that's not worth investing in because we have, like, nobody that lives there, or nobody travels. You can take that off. And then you can just keep going through this. This is one organizational method. This is worst condition to best condition, and then if you go to the other table, this table here gives you the number one worst road in every ward. So I think all the data you have asked for in these tabled. It's just as a method of selection, the board needs to decide how you guys want to move forward with that. If there's anything we can do to help you with that process I want to be able to do that for you."

Mr. Seibel stated, "So what I think I'm hearing is we should be looking at the worst road table and thinking about it based on how the roads are used." Mr. Giudici stated, "there are exceptions to it, for good reason. If it's that much not traveled, then maybe then just pull it out and go to the next one." Mr. Seibel stated, "Is that something Bert and Tom can take a first pass at and get out to us?" Mr. Castello stated, "that is so subjective. That's what they kept telling me for years, we want to get rid of subjectivity. Right. Stacey, you kept telling me that, we get rid of subjectivity, we're going with stats and numbers. And if we're going with stats and numbers, then all wards wrote number one should be where we start." Mr. Seibel stated, "Number of residents and amount of traffic doesn't have to be subjective. We might not have traffic counts on every road, but we can estimate it."

Mr. Castello stated, "I go on Old Scrubgrass all the time, there's no homes, two homes on there, maybe? And that's a cut-through, and probably most of these people who travels aren't even Scott residents. They're probably from St. Clair or Mount Lebanon." Ms. Sorcan stated, "there's a lot of people that travel that road." Mr. Castello stated, "you're telling me they're all Scott residents?" Ms. Sorcan stated, "a lot of them are." Mr. Castello stated, "a lot of them aren't." Ms. Sorcan stated, "that's every road a lot of them aren't."

Mr. Castello stated, "I'm saying, if we're going to start figuring out who drives on what road, then we're getting very subjective." Ms. Sorcan stated, "I think before you pick, I could consider having a sort of a little bit of an idea of how well is, how often it's traveled before you actually decide on it. You still need to go with the worst roads."

Ms. Altman stated, "the worst road in my ward is the road that goes back to Spinner Field." Mr. Seibel stated, "Well, we're not doing that one now, because there's construction vehicles on it." Ms. Altman stated, "the second worst road in my ward is Pierina, Pierina Drive. Would you do Spinner Field Road?" Ms. Altman stated, "I'm looking at another one that has Spinnerfield on it. There's a lot of tables, so." Ms. Castello stated, "I'm looking at the one that says all wards, road number one. Yeah. That's the one that ranks all the number ones with the worst condition."

Ms. Hartman stated, "The number one road in each ward put on this table. So, Stacy should have Perina on there."

Mr. Brett stated, "I think about twenty-five million to repave every road in the township. So $550,000, it's about 40 to 45 years." Mr. Giudici stated, "so you're never gonna get ahead of the game." Mr. Brett stated, "big picture point, most of the area, there's somewhere between 50, 60, the least we have is 15. Somebody gets every road paved every 15 years. The average for this area is between 40 and 60 based on current budgeting that everybody puts in. So you're kind of in the middle as far as that goes. Tom, you're right back whenever we first looked at it, it was probably $7 or 8 million, but paving's now nine bucks a square yard. It was three bucks a square yard." Mr. Castello stated, "Yeah, that was about 10 years ago, probably." Mr. Seibel stated, "thank you for that insight, Kevin. That's an interesting way to look at it."

Mr. Seibel stated, "so, do we want to provide feedback to Denise, Tom and Bert, or do we want them to give additional feedback to us, with the concern that it could be subjective?" Mr. Giudici stated, "shouldn't the people in the Department of Public Works figure it up and bring it back to us? I mean, they're the ones that are more knowledgeable about it. I mean, it should be done through committee and then come out of committee." Mr. Castello stated, "it's a time factor." Mr. Giudici stated, "I understand."

Mr. Castello stated, "that's the problem. I'd like to get it done sooner rather than later." Mr. Giudici stated, "and I've been gone 8 years, and it's always been a time sensitive effect. We've gotten better, it's cheaper the earlier you start." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I was thinking that if you set them with some direction, we'll get this list that has asphalt condition from worst to best, look at these top rows that are here, because it does encompass almost all the wards, and they give us some feedback on what you think our township dollars would be best spent on. For various reasons whether it's usability, or there's other issues that are on the road not caught by the Road AI, like drainage, or the catch basins, things like that. Like, where are we going to be able to spend the money, get the most bag for our buck? And yes, you're gonna have some subjectivity to it, but you don't have to lean all the way into that. There's always going to be a debate. It's gonna happen. There's 9 of us making a decision."

Mr. Siebel stated, "so let's get the feedback from Public Works. Get it back to the board on Friday." Mr. Giudici stated, "based on the AI information." Mr. Seibel stated, "gives us another week to stew on it." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "and what is the criteria that you guys want the Public Works and the Director to look at? The most highly traveled? I kind of want to look for some guidance here." Mr. Castello stated, "that's the subjectivity coming out."

Mr. Seibel stated, "my opinion, feel free to disagree, is worst to best, based on road use. I mean, having to look at it, what do they focus on plowing first because it's a hazard?" Mr. Dalfonso stated, "again, if we leave a road that is barely used, it might be on next year's list, but we pick one that's more highly used, because again, it deteriorates faster. I'd rather put the money towards that, instead of letting it get worse, to having to spend even more."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "and also, not consider the roads that we know are just already, they're continue to get beat up and they're going to have to get replaced and repaired a year from now. I mean, I keep thinking of Cherry Tree and that area. They're gonna keep getting beat up" Mr. Seibel stated, "so is Spinner." Ms. Sorcan stated, "right, Spinner is the same way." Mr. Seibel stated, "does that give you direction?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated yes.

Ms. Meyers stated, "I think this also gives us each a chance over the next week to look at those roads ourselves too, and maybe take notes as to what we think are traveled more, or what we think, like you said, it could be drainage problems, you know, what we think is worse too." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "let's hope some of this snow melts to help us out on there."

Mr. Giudici stated, "The problem is with the snow melting, you get another freeze, you're gonna start having all kinds of issues. You're gonna get water, it's gonna get down into the cracks, and then the freeze is gonna lift everything up." Mr. Seibel stated, "we had that on Foxcroft, luckily we paved it last year." Mr. Giudici stated, "you're gonna have that on every road, basically. It's been a hard winter."

Mr. Castello stated, "the report, we just wasted money on that. How much did we pay for it?" Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I disagree with that. I think you're just throwing a fit at this point." Mr. Castello stated, "no I disagree. That was the whole reason of us was paying the money we paid for the program." Mr. Seibel stated, "the money we paid wasn't just for this year." Mr. Castello stated, "no, but we're paying for it. So, what, next year, we're gonna change the rules again? That's what I'm saying. I was told we're gonna follow this." Mr. Seibel stated, "but the investment in the AI can be used every year. It can be used during the year."

Mr. Castello stated, "okay, what factors are we going to give if there's a business on the road?" Mr. Seibel stated, "that's not apart of the AI program." Mr. Castello stated, "I know. So what factor when we're looking around subjectively to look at these things, if there's a business on the road, what factor are we going to give that? Is having that business on there? It's paying tax dollars." Mr. Seibel stated, "I'll get back to you on that." Mr. Castello stated, "alright. Again, changing the rules." Mr. Seibel stated, "there's an initial investment, and then there's an ongoing software fee. We'll find it."

Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of Geotechnical Engineering Investigation and Design of a Soldier Pile and Lagging Retaining Wall to Ackenheil Engineering, Inc. in the amount of $30,425.00 for the Duncan Way Roadway Stabilization project. Ms. Hartman stated, "so you'll recall we did get some Grant Funding for Duncan Way, that is a project that we require geotechnical engineering services on. We will include RFP proposals, professional service proposals. We sent 5 RFPs out, we received 4 back. Ackenheil was the most cost-effective proposal in the amount of $30,425, that provides for their geotechnical investigation, preparation of a report. So I would like to, with your blessing, put that on the agenda.

Mr. Seibel stated, "what was the last?" Ms. Hartman stated Duncan Way. Mr. Seibel stated, "Didn't we do the baskets down there?" Ms. Hartman stated, "Yes, this is the acidity of the

water is destroying the baskets and the road is.." Mr. Seibel stated, "but it was 25." Mr. Castello stated, "Vic Fallon was a commissioner, so that was..." Mr. Seibel stated, "I just remember the conversation. I just wanted to make sure we didn't make the same design mistakes." Mr. Castello stated, "we talked about baskets every year for 10 years, that was probably 20 years ago."

Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of Partial Payment No. 4 submitted by Grahamboys, LLC dba Graham Construction in the amount of $33,127.19 for work completed between November 1, 2025 and December 1, 2025 for the Public Works Garage Roof Replacement Project (Contract No. 24-R1) (to be paid from the Bond Fund). LSSE recommended for payment January 12, 2026; 45-day payment date is February 26, 2026. Ms. Hartman stated this was tabled at the last agenda meeting for further review. Ms. Hartman stated, "with your blessing, I would like that put on the agenda."

Ms. Hartman stated that she needed approval of the Public Works Salt Storage Facility Replacement – Base Bid in the amount of $728,450.00 to Cronin Enterprises Civil & Rail Division, LLC pending confirmation of funding. Ms. Hartman stated that the project was open for bid on February 6 and 3 bids were received from Cronin, Tedco and Graham Construction. Ms. Hartman stated, "we did base bid and add alternate, base bid was construction of the building itself. The add alternate was the construction of the brine tank. We bid it that way for funding not knowing what the number would be, we do have some funding for the project. We wanted to make sure it was within budget. With your blessing, I would like to include this on the regular meeting. Denise and I do have a meeting tomorrow to look at all the budgeted items out of your capital improvements funds for this year, know which projects we can divert money to, what projects we can divert money from."

Mr. Giudici stated, "what funding sources did we have for this?" Ms. Hartman stated, "this is the bond fund I believe." Mr. Seibel stated, "there was also an LSA for it." Ms. Hartman stated yes.

Standing Committee Report – Finance
Mr. Castello stated that he did not have anything to add.

Standing Committee Report – Public Safety
Ms. Gazda stated that he did not have anything tonight. Mr. Seibel stated a chief of police update would not be given that night because no representative from the Police Department was present.

Standing Committee Report – Public Works
Mr. Seibel stated, "we have a Director of Public Works update. Tom?" Mr. Kelley stated, "yes, good evening, commission. Thank God January's over. During January, we had a total of 14 inches of snow, and over one weekend, we had 12 inches. The temperatures were in the low teens, even below that on some occasions. During the month, we used 395 tons of rock salt, total 340 hours of overtime, and between the 24th and the 26th, we had our biggest snowfall,

when we had 11 to 12 inches. Park maintenance, we've been working on the concession stand. There were some items that the health department brought up during an inspection. We've addressed those items. Road maintenance, we haven't had much of an opportunity to do any cold patching. I think if the weather improves a little bit over the next week or two, we'll be able to do some patching. Hopefully it doesn't drop the way that it did before. Building maintenance, we're able to replace some of the tiles in the commission chamber and the ceiling, put in the maps and photographs along the wall. Did a lot of light replacement in the building, some plumbing repair and miscellaneous plastering."

Mr. Kelley stated, "Sanitary/sewer maintenance, had a couple calls out, we addressed those without issue. Stormwater sewer maintenance, whenever we had an opportunity when it wasn't snowing or the roads weren't frozen. We're able to do some catch basin cleaning. Vehicle maintenance, did a great job of keeping our 10 trucks serviceable and plowing the roads during January. We've ordered a new snowplow, a parts pickup and also a hot box, so we'll able to do some road patching with hot asphalt, and that will be very helpful."

Mr. Kelley stated, "had a lot of resident complaints of course. Particularly between the 24th and 25th. We also responded to some requests regarding sanitary sewer issues. Pennsylvania One Calls, total 91 during the month. We had a total of 23 emergency tickets. Most of the tickets are submitted by the utilities. 25 dye test applications prepared. 15 dye test applications reviewed and approved. That sums it up."

Mr. Seibel stated, "then we have item 8b, which is to discuss a resolution to collaborate with Pennsylvania of Transportation (PennDOT) authorizing the Township to enter a three-year Street Sweeping Agreement to Sweep Greentree Rd once per year. PennDOT will reimburse the Township $2,439.04 for the first year's sweep. I think my question on this is, what's the amount for years 2 and 3?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "Tom, do you have the agreement?"

Mr. Kelley stated, "with PennDot, it usually does increase. I've done some of these maintenance agreements before. I would have preferred that they had an increase written in there. But I think at the end of the first year, we're going to sit down with them, and we're going to negotiate a little better price than this. It's not a bad price for one sweep. I mean, it's only 68 hours. But still, we should be moving it up. And it focuses strictly on Green Tree Road, doesn't focus on any of the roads. And it's only one sweep, and that sweep takes place between April and May."

Mr. Seibel stated, "so you have Green Tree Road all the way from Route 50, up to Forsyth, or up to Ryan. McMonagle?" Mr. Kelley stated, "it's the entire section of Green Tree Road from the border in Greentree all the way down to the border in Heidelberg." Mr. Seibel stated, "are we gonna have to do that off hours on overtime? Because of traffic?" Mr. Kelley stated, "Well, you know what, we might want to start it early. I know in Mount Lebanon, we always started early. So, maybe starting it an hour early. is probably a good thing to do, maybe about 6 AM. We don't want to tangle with traffic on Greentree Road, that's for sure." Mr. Seibel stated, "It gets intense from 7 to 9, yes. Maybe even a midday wouldn't be bad."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "Tom, you've got a three year contract, if we're trying to negotiate years two and three, now that we already have the three year contract, does that put us at a disadvantage as far as getting them to pay a little more?"

Mr. Kelley stated, "I think there are provisions in the contract that would allow us to, if it wasn't working out favorably for either party, John could review it, but I think we could probably, I think there's a clause in there that would allow us to if it wasn't working out, just to move away from it." Mr. Seibel stated, "I would be more concerned about that, Jane, if it was a private contract, but with a public entity." Mr. Vogel stated, "under the agreement, what it says is that range in effect, effective date until June, and then with the it says on termination for cause or convenience on 30 days written notice by either partner."

Mr. Castello stated, "if it's one sweep, why are they paying us quarterly for that amount? It's so small." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "think it's just a standard contract that they made, so anything that we would want to change, we'd have to go back to them. I think it's just the agreement standard they send to everybody." Mr. Castello stated, "I agree with you. It's a standard agreement geared towards a lot of work being done for them. The way this is structured, it's not based on a single job being done. That'd be much simpler than this four-page agreement."

Mr. Seibel stated, "Tom, one of the complaints I get all the time on Greentree Road is vegetation growth on the concrete center medians. Would sweeping help that at all? Or is that purely vegetation management thing that PennDOT has to do?" Mr. Kelley stated, "You know what, it would not help. They would have to get out there, and they don't get out there that often to cut that back, but that is something that they would have to do. We can't do that with a sweeper." Mr. Seibel stated, "more often than not, I see they spray it, and then it lays there dead, and it looks worse. They never actually cut it."

Mr. Seibel stated, "I tend to agree with Tom, but, you know, can we go back to them and ask them if they would be yearly instead of quarterly? $2,000 a lump sum is not a big, and its easier administratively to receive the payment once." Mr. Vogel stated, "I'm looking at this agreement, we're talking general provisions and the term of this will commence on the effective date, or remain in effect until June 30, 2026. I don't see anything else, even though the resolution talks about a 3-year agreement, I see nothing on the renewals of the agreement." Mr. Castello stated, "that's what I'm saying, this is inconsistent with what they're talking about." Mr. Seibel stated, "let's get some clarity before we put it on."

Environmental Committee (Sewer Committee and MS4 Updates)
Ms. Sorcan stated that she did not have anything to add.

Parks, Recreation and Public Relations Report
Ms. Meyers stated, "the Paint and Sip painting class, it's painting on slate. February 28th from 6 to 8 and it's $45." Ms. Meyers stated the event will be at the community room.

Library Liaison Report
Mr. Giudici stated, "I attended their board meeting yesterday. They're a very busy group. They've got a lot of things going on. They've got Love Your Library, it's the month of February. They have a generous anonymous donation given to them so they can match all contributions received in February. They have a number of fundraisers going on, they have one at Chick-fil-A, I guess they have one with Sarris Candy for Valentine's Day and Easter. I think last year they raised $10,000 in 2025 which goes a long way to get their match from us. They're trying to put numbers together for their state financial audit report. They're putting out a 5-year RFP for upcoming audits for 5 years. 2026 is their 25th anniversary, so they were planning something for that. They're still in our planning stages. They're planning an employee/volunteer recognition day at the library on April 23rd. So, they're busy doing a lot of fundraising. I think they have a used book sale coming up. The Friends of the Library are looking for new volunteers to help at the library and all of their fundraisers. So they're a very busy group."

SHACOG
Mr. Seibel stated that he did not have anything to add.

Standing Committee Report – Administration
Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "I'd like to recognize John Brown for the beautiful multimedia elephant that he generously gifted to me as the manager. John attends art school in Carnegie at Forget Me Not. He's been a proud Patron of Scott Pool since he was a young boy. During our conversation, John spoke fondly of the lifeguards, describing them as his sweet and kind pals, words that truly reflect the welcoming spirit of our pool staff. His love for Scott Pool inspired him to share this meaningful piece of art, and will be proudly displaying that in the administrative offices as a reminder of our strong sense of community. That we're fortunate to have here in Scott Township."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "in the month of January, administrative offices worked on finalizing the budget information for the website, meeting with web designers on a website upgrade, creating an RFP for IT services, which is going to be on the agenda here, and met with Waste Management on service items, those are just some of the highlights that we worked on in January."

Mr. Seibel stated, "next up, we have discuss hiring Michael Rudolph as administrative assistant at an annual rate of $60,000 a year, predicated upon passing a physical and background check. This position has a 6-month probationary period." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "the only question and/or comment I have is I didn't know if we were going to start the 6 months from whenever he started or whether we have some of it considering that he's worked here this long, and he's done pretty good job for us, I think. We're all pretty happy." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "normally I would ask for a year, that's why I cut it to 6 months." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "okay, that's fair." Mr. Castello stated, "has he been here since August?" Mr. Rudolph stated he started on October 1st.

Mr. Seibel stated, "next we have discuss advertising for proposals from vendors for information technology support services." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "this is something Stacey and I had talked about that we wanted to implement for this year, we're going to be going out and just freshening up the proposals, making sure that we are getting the best bang for our buck. IT services was the easiest one for me to pick off, so that it's on the agenda this evening." Mr. Seibel stated, "we haven't looked at it in a while and with some of the personnel changed I think it makes a lot of sense." Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I don't know much about IT services, but does this proposal cover everything that we essentially might need from them?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated yes.

Mr. Seibel stated, "my opinion is that it should focus heavily on security in today's age." Ms. Gazda stated, "Is this a full-time position, or just a consultant?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "we already have one in place. We've been using them for 20 years. just think that it's nice to go in and take a look at everything, make sure that we have everything we can get. One of the things that we should have is training for our employees. One of the ideas that we had was to have emails go out and see if anyone responds to them, if they don't recognize the email. It's all training, I think that our employees are pretty good at that, but it doesn't hurt to send emails out every once in awhile just to make sure that everyone is on their best game and not opening things they shouldn't be opening."

Ms. Gazda stated, "would you still retain the company that we have now? This is to replace?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "potentially, either keep or replace. We are very happy with the services we have now. I don't want anyone to misunderstand that. We're sending it out just to see what's out there." Ms. Sorcan stated, "would that affect the web designs being sdone?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "No, the web design is Troiani Designs. They're the ones that did the original website a number of years ago. They have come back in, gave us a proposal to do an upgrade for that. And one of the things that I think is important that we're going to need to do with our website is, you know, we have multi-language. That's already in there for CityBot, but it's one of the different things we can do to make it more accessible for all our residents. Technology has grown and our website has stayed behind a bit."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "Yeah, it doesn't seem like it's really user friendly, and many things I want to find I can't. I've got to hunt through a lot of different pages to find it." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "we want to reduce the number of pages as well. We want to change the way that it's searching. I would like input. If there is something that you guys are particularly interested in us looking at, we'll take a look at it and see what we can do to upgrade it."

Ms. Meyers stated, "Denise, I think one of the things when we get to our parks section is I've noticed a lot of times on social media, people asking, how many tables are in a facility and the size of them, the width of the round ones so they know what type of tablecloths and stuff to get, and how many people in seats at the table. I think we need something in there that's a little bit more informative." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "thank you. Absolutely."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "Tom, I wanted to tell you the RoadAI for the first year was $12,190 and then it's $7,00 per year each year thereafter." Mr. Castello stated thank you. Mr. Seibel stated, "we passed that in January 2025."

Conferences and Workshops
Mr. Seibel stated, "nothing for tonight."

Public Comments on Items Not Listed on the Agenda
There were no public comments on the agenda.

Commissioner's Request
Ms. Meyers stated, "Actually, and it's not a request, it's just a comment, I wanted to thank Michael, since we all got a peek at the newsletter, to say it's really nice, it's a breath of fresh air to see that it's done so early in the year that everybody's going to get the information out there, and the look of it is nice. It needed a facelift. So, thank you for all that work because it's been a lot of work."

Mr. Giudici stated, "I have one residential complaint about snow covered sidewalks, but Mark Mox informed me that that's all been taken care of now. And the only other thing from the library board meeting was they informed me that there's still a leak on their roof into the women's restroom forming mold, and I inquired, is that the same leak that was there 6 years ago when I was liaison, and they told me yes. So, if somebody could get over there and see what they can do. It could be the snow melting but they say it's been on and off for years. If it gets into the books it could destroy their library collection."

Mr. Castello stated that he did not have anything to add.

Ms. Sorcan stated, "nothing, except for complimenting Michael on what a wonderful job he did, and does all the time."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "I think I'm the school district liaison this year. I did have a chance to go over to the school board this meeting. They had a brief presentation regarding the contract and the bid that they got submitted. They only focused on not what it was going to cost the district, but overall for the drivers, it was about an 8% increase in wages on the first year. Same with the help that is on the bus as well. It's about an 8%. They said it was roughly 18% in total because there's a bonus if they sign on. They're all supposed to get a job with the bus company. The other thing they focused on was the savings of about $10 million in placing the buses for the school district. But again they didn't put what it was gonna cost." Ms. Gazda stated, "company name?" Mr. Dalfonso stated, "first student."

Mr. Catello stated, "so Sam, they're getting rid of the union? Is that what they decided?" Mr. Dalfonso stated, "They're still negotiating. They're not making any kind of decision. I guess they had a negotiating session today, but they're not in a position to make any recommendations to the board one way or the other." Mr. Castello stated, "so this is just a proposal?" Mr. Dalfonso stated yes.

Ms. Gazda stated that she did not have anything to add.

Ms. Sedlak stated, "nothing, thank you very much. I appreciate you guys."

Ms. Altman stated, "Michael, just wanted to say I'm super excited that you want to stay on with us. You've been doing a great job. Tom Kelly, just a quick shout-out again, I don't think we tell you thanks enough for what you, Bert, and Public Works do to keep us all afloat through this crappy weather. I too am very happy January's over. Real quick, just to let you guys know, I am headed to Gettysburg next weekend, representing Scott Township for the Pennsylvania State Association and Township Commissioners. Hopefully, I'll come back with something new and exciting for us. Again, that's at no cost to the township, just another group that I'm involved in. That's it, thank you, happy Valentine's Day everyone."

Adjournment

Ms. Seibel motioned to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Ms. Meyers

All in Favor

Meeting adjourned at 8:56 PM. (9-0)

Executive session (Personnel)

Attest: _____

TOWNSHIP OF SCOTT
SEAL
ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PA.